

# 5G: implementation challenges and solutions

University of Bristol / Cambridge Wireless

18<sup>th</sup> September 2018

Matthew Baker Nokia Bell-Labs Head of Radio Physical Layer & Coexistence Standardisation

© Nokia 2018



NOKIA

## **New Channel Coding for New Radio**

Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) codes for the data channels enable high data rates with low complexity Decoding

#### Key benefits of LDPC:

- High throughput with manageable complexity (parallel decoding in hardware)
- Good performance
- Adaptable to a wide range of code rates and block sizes
- Well suited to IR-HARQ



### **10 – 20 x Capacity with 5G @ sub 6 GHz** 5x More Spectrum with 2 – 4x More Efficiency



#### 4 © Nokia 2018

#### NOKIA

## **Massive MIMO at Higher Carrier Frequencies (>>6 GHz)**

Poor path-loss conditions	Cost & power consumption	Antenna array implementation	Beam based air interface
Large number of antennas needed to overcome poor path-loss Obtaining channel knowledge per element is difficult	Full digital solutions require transceiver units behind all elements Wide bandwidths: A/D and D/A converters are very power hungry	Smaller form factors Distributed PA solutions → Hybrid arrays Beamforming at RF with baseband digital Precoding	<ul> <li>Single sector-wide beam may not provide adequate coverage</li> <li>→ Beamform all channels!</li> <li>→ Support analogue and hybrid arrays</li> </ul>

#### Path Loss Difference 3.5 GHz vs 1.9 GHz

#### Nokia measurements

#### Outdoor

	LOS			NLOS				
	$C_1$	n1	Mean	Std	$C_2$	$\mathbf{n_2}$	Mean	Std
1.9 GHz	39.06	2	-0.48	3.57	27.69	4	0.03	3.81
3.5 GHz	42.93	2	-0.65	3.59	33.50	4	-0.13	3.89
$\Delta \mathrm{PL}$	3.87	5.81						

#### Indoor penetration loss

	Modern building	Old building	Shops
1.9 GHz	17.54 dB	7.16 dB	10.00±2.66 dB
3.5 GHz	22.54 dB	11.45 dB	12.97±2.73 dB
$\Delta L_P$	5 dB	4.29 dB	2.97 dB

Outdoor path loss difference 5.8 dB Additional indoor loss difference 3 – 5 dB





#### **Massive MIMO Configurations**



8 columns is feasible at 3.5 GHz 8 columns enables 8 beams

- Coverage gains +6 dB
- Capacity gains +200%



#### Innovations at Base Station Site with New Antennas and RF



<sup>9</sup> Less site space, lower power consumption, better radio performance

#### Antenna Array Architectures for scalable flexible MIMO







Digital (Baseband) beamforming	Hybrid beamforming	Analog beamforming
Adaptive TX/RX weights at Baseband	Adaptive TX/RX weights at both Analog and Baseband domains	Adaptive TX/RX weights at RF to form a beam
Each antenna element or antenna port has a transceiver unit High number (8->) of transceiver units	Each RF beam has a transceiver unit; Moderate number of transceiver units for capacity (e.g. up to 8)	One transceiver unit and one RF beam with high antenna gain (coverage)
"Frequency-Selective" beamforming	Combination of Analog and Baseband beamforming	"Frequency-Flat" beamforming
Best for capacity and flexibility (subject to high power consumption & cost characteristics when bandwidth increases)	Optimization between both coverage and capacity	Best for coverage (low power consumption & cost characteristics)

#### 28 GHz Band also works for Mobile Use Cases



95% of indoor users get >100 Mbps
2/3 of users get 28 GHz and 1/3 get 3.5 GHz
3-5x higher data rate than 3.5 GHz alone
Inter-site distance 230 m in suburban area
3.5 GHz: 40 MHz bandwidth, 19 dBi

• 28 GHz: 250 MHz bandwidth, 25 dBi





11

## Multiple bands: Potential 5G Bands in (early) 5G Deployments

-					Macro-cell
	600 MHz	LTE/5G	North America	Full coverage et al OHZ	
	700 MHz	LTE/5G	APAC, EMEA, LatAm	Full coverage at <1 GHZ	
	3.3-3.4	LTE/5G	APAC, Africa, LatAm		
	3.4-3.6	LTE/5G	Global		
	3.55-4.2	LTE/5G	US	Dense urban high data rates at $3.5 - 4.5$ GHz	
	3.6-3.8	5G	Europe		Small cell
	4.5	5G	Japan China		
Г	28	56	US Korea Japan	Hat anot high data rates at	
	39	5 G	US	28 – 39 GHz	
	24.25-27.5	5G	WRC-19 band		
	31.8-33.4	5G	WRC-19 band (Fra, UK)	Future mmW/ave options	small cel
	~40,~50,~70	5G	WRC-19 bands		

NOKIA

#### **Lower Latency Radio Transmissions**





## **5G physical layer design optimized for low latency** Frame structure

- NR frame structure defined for pipeline processing implementation
  - Channel estimate available early in the slot
  - Decoding each OFDM symbol individually
    - → Decoding can start immediately after receiving the first data symbol
- NR uses LDPC channel codec for fast processing; LTE Turbo computationally much more complex (higher latency)
- NR supports short (down to 2 symbol) allocations minimizing queuing and transmission latency for ultra-low latency delivery



#### 5G pipelining

# LTE reference CTRL Common RS and DATA t

NOKIA

14

### **Network Architecture Evolution Towards 5G**

# BTS Core Image: Second seco

#### Target



5G radio more centralized for faster scalability
Core more distributed for low latency

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Innovations in Networks Architecture – Local Content for Low Latency

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Local content and local networks needed for low latency
- Latency increases by 12 ms with round trip from Oulu to Helsinki

### New opportunities: Industry 4.0 in Nokia Factory Oulu

Home / News / Releases /

Nokia and Telia conduct Industry 4.0 trial in Finland leveraging low-latency and high-bandwidth of 5G technology

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

Video! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E02Bqblce7E&

17

### Increasing network configurability

- Increased number of Radio Resource Control (RRC) parameters to configure:
  - 60 in first version of LTE -> 600 in first version of 5G NR
- Optimisation of the radio network becomes a super-human challenge!
  - Self-optimising networks (SON) are critical for network operation
  - New artificial intelligence / neural network based techniques needed to manage the network configuration.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)